LONG-TERM (16-YEAR) COMPARISON OF PHOSPHORUS FERTILIZATION STRATEGIES: TARGETED SOIL TEST VALUES VS. CROP REMOVAL IN CORN PRODUCTION

S. Patel, C. Shapiro, and J. Iqbal University of Nebraska-Lincoln

ABSTRACT

 Developing effective phosphorus (P) fertilization strategies to optimize corn (Zea mays L.) yields across varying environmental conditions is essential. This 16-year study, conducted on Nora silt loam soil in Concord, NE (initial Bray-1 P of 16±3 mg $kg⁻¹$), evaluated different P fertilization strategies under dry, normal, and wet years. The treatments included: no P or N (NPNN), no P (NP), phosphorus applied at crop removal (CRP), and maintaining soil P at 15 (B15), 30 (B30), and 45 (B45) mg kg⁻¹ Bray-1 P, with equivalent nitrogen rates across all treatments except NPNN. Results indicated a 25% and 33% reduction in soil test phosphorus (STP) for the NPNN and NP treatments, respectively. Maintaining soil P at B30 and B45 required 1.8 times more P than CRP and B15. Although the B30 and B45 treatments increased corn grain P concentration by 6-12% compared to B15 and CRP, they did not lead to yield improvements during normal and dry years. The NP treatment reduced yields by 9% in normal years and 12% in wet years. In contrast, CRP outperformed B15 during wet years, yielding 8% more. Economic analysis revealed that B45 generated a 56% higher net return in normal years, while CRP delivered the highest return on investment (ROI) at 4.8. This study emphasizes the challenges of managing soil P under varying environmental conditions, showing that while higher STP levels (B30 and B45) may enhance grain P concentration, they do not consistently boost yields or ROI compared to CRP and B15.

INTRODUCTION

Phosphorus (P) is a critical nutrient in corn (Zea mays L.) production, second only to nitrogen (N) in both agronomic importance and cost (Olson et al., 1987; Beegle, 2005). Effective P management is essential, though recommendations vary widely due to differing soil properties and environmental conditions. Corn production typically relies on two main P management strategies: maintaining soil test P (STP) at a critical level (CL) or applying P based on crop removal (CRP). The CL approach keeps STP above a deficiency threshold, while CRP replaces only the P removed during harvest, allowing gradual STP increases over time (Fulford & Culman, 2018). Climate variability further complicates P management, as crop yields and STP levels may respond differently under dry, normal, or wet conditions.

The debate continues about whether maintaining STP above the CL could enhance yield resilience under stress or if CRP and CL applications can yield similar or better returns on investment (ROI) with lower input costs (Penn et al., 2023; Fixen & Grove, 1990). This 16-year study in eastern Nebraska assessed the long-term impacts of maintaining STP levels at 15, 30, and 45 ppm Bray-1 P, compared with CRP, on corn yield, STP dynamics, and economic returns. The study's objectives included evaluating

whether higher STP levels boost yields and economic outcomes and whether CRP would be more cost-effective than CL.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A long-term (2000–2015) study was conducted on Nora silt loam soil at the Haskell Agricultural Laboratory in Concord, NE, where continuous corn had been grown since 1974. The soil, depleted of P due to nitrogen-only applications since 1986, had a baseline STP of 16 ppm Bray-1 P, pH of 5.4, and 280 lb/ac K. Six treatments in a randomized block design with four replications were applied: no P or N (NPNN), no P (NP), CRP, and targeted STP levels of 15 (B15), 30 (B30), and 45 (B45) ppm Bray-1 P. Except for NPNN, equivalent amount of nitrogen was applied to all treatments annually. Phosphorus was applied preplant, initially as monoammonium phosphate (MAP) or diammonium phosphate (DAP) and later as triple superphosphate from 2002 onward.

The targeted STP levels were achieved using initial P applications calculated from baseline STP values, with an estimated requirement of 8.6 lb P/ac to raise STP by 1 ppm (Fernández & Hoeft, 2009). CRP applications were adjusted annually based on the previous year's crop removal. Soil samples were taken post-harvest from an 8-inch depth and analyzed for STP to inform P applications, adjusted if STP deviated more than 5 ppm from target levels. Standard crop management practices were used, and yields were measured with a plot combine. Precipitation and evapotranspiration data helped categorize growing conditions as dry, normal, or wet years (Shekhar & Shapiro, 2019). Economic analysis included net profit and ROI, calculated from yield increases, corn prices, and P fertilizer costs.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phosphorus Application Mass and Changes in STP Levels

This study required varying amounts of P application across treatments to maintain target STP levels. To reach their respective target STP level, the B30 and B45 treatments received substantial P in the early years, based on the estimate of 8.6 lb P ac^{-1} to raise STP by 1 ppm Bray-1 P (Fernández & Hoeft, 2009). During the first two years, B15, B30, and B45 received 23%, 44%, and 63% of their total P, respectively. By 2004, B30 achieved its target, while B45 maintained STP above the target until 2009. This suggests a multi-year build program could be more practical for producers, as it spreads costs and prevents excessive initial applications. Over the 16-year study, total P applied was highest for B45 (569 lb P ac⁻¹), followed by B30 (390 lb P ac⁻¹), B15 (234 lb P ac⁻¹), and CRP (290 lb P ac⁻¹). Statistically, B45 received more P than B30, with both surpassing B15 and CRP, which were similar.

Without P application (NP and NPNN), STP levels declined from 16 ppm to 7 ppm (NP) and 11 ppm (NPNN) over 16 years, consistent with soil P depletion due to crop uptake. This gradual decrease aligns with findings that soil P levels decline more slowly than they increase, due to P's limited availability from less labile pools (Randall et al., 1997; Rehm & Schmitt, 1993). Conversely, the targeted P treatments initially exceeded their respective targets: two years after the first application, STP levels

Table 1. Effect of phosphorus application strategies on phosphorus application rates and quantity by year and across $vears (2000-2015)$ at Concord, NE.

[†]CRP indicates P applied at crop removal, and B15, B30, B45 indicate treatments to maintain STP levels at 15, 30, and 45 ppm (Bray-1P), respectively.

‡Indicates weighted standard error (SE) for all variables.

 $$$ Different letters in the same row indicate means are significantly different at $P < 0.05$

Table 2 Effect of phosphorus application strategies on soil phosphorus levels by year (2000-2015) at Concord, NE.

[†]NP and NPNN indicate treatments with no added P and neither P nor N applied, respectively, CRP indicates P applied at crop removal, and B15, B30, B45 indicate treatments to maintain STP levels at 15, 30, and 45 ppm (Bray-1P equivalents), respectively.

[‡]Indicates weighted standard error (SE) for all variables.

[§]Different letters in the same row indicate significant mean differences at $P < 0.05$

reached 19, 42, and 91 ppm for B15, B30, and B45, respectively, reflecting an initial overshoot. These levels peaked in 2002, then gradually declined to near target levels, suggesting that high initial applications have prolonged residual effects. Splitting initial applications over time may better align with target attainment and prevent overshoot (McCallister et al., 1987; Richards et al., 1995).

In later years, P applications for B30 and B45 decreased to maintenance levels, similar to or even lower than CRP, indicating a stabilizing effect after target STP was achieved. The CRP and B15 treatments maintained similar STP levels across most years, with CRP gradually increasing STP from 16 ppm in 1998 to 28 ppm by 2015, without falling below the initial level. These results support that CRP applications can gradually raise STP over time (Sims et al., 2023; Wortmann et al., 2018).

Grain Yield and Grain P Concentration

In the early years, yield differences from phosphorus (P) application were minimal due to soil test P (STP) levels near the critical threshold of 16 ppm. Over the 16-year study, however, P application significantly increased average corn grain yield compared to no P, while nitrogen absence reduced yield by 38%. Average yields across P treatments (CRP, B15, B30, and B45) were similar, at 123, 120, 121, and 126 bu ac^{-1} , respectively, with B45 showing a 5% higher trend than B15 but without statistical significance. This aligns with findings that yield gains diminish when STP exceeds critical levels (Penn et al., 2023; Iqbal et al., 2019). In dry years, yields across treatments were 28-44% lower than in normal or wet years, indicating moisture limitations on yield. Yields in the absence of P (NP) were reduced by 9% and 12% in normal and wet years, respectively, while CRP showed an 8% higher yield than B15 in

Table 3 Effect of P fertilizer application strategies on corn grain yield during dry (SPEI > -1.13 \le -2.17), normal (SPEI > -0.69 \le 0.51), wet (SPEI > 1.16 \le 1.59), and across all study years (2000-2015) at Concord, NE.

 \overline{X} , \overline{X} , \overline{X} ^{**}; Significant at $P < 0.05$, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively.

 \dagger Orthogonal treatment comparisons.

[‡]NP and NPNN indicate treatments with no added P and neither P nor N applied, respectively; CRP indicates P applied at crop removal, and B15, B30, B45 indicate treatments to maintain STP levels at 15, 30, and 45 ppm (Bray-1P equivalents), respectively.

 M_S indicates weighted standard error (SE) for all variables.

Corn grain yields expressed at 15.5 % moisture.

wet conditions, suggesting potential yield losses when STP is only maintained near the critical level under high-yield potential.

Absence of P fertilization (NP treatment) showed a 16% decrease in grain P concentration compared to P-applied treatments, with CRP and B15 averaging 0.32% grain P concentration. Higher STP levels in B30 and B45 increased grain P concentration by 9% compared to B15 and CRP, demonstrating "luxury consumption" where excess P uptake does not enhance yield (Cadot et al., 2018; Penn et al., 2023). In dry years, grain P concentration increased by 6-10% due to limited carbohydrate production from moisture stress, causing less P dilution. Overall, maintaining soil at higher STP levels (B30 and B45) raised grain P concentration by 11% and 6% in dry and normal years, respectively, compared to CRP and B15, reflecting increased P uptake without a significant yield benefit.

Table 4 Effect of phosphorus application strategies on grain P concentration during dry (SPEI > -1.13 < -2.17), normal $(SPEI > -0.69 < 0.51)$, wet $(SPEI > 1.16 < 1.59)$, and across all study years $(2000-2015)$ at Concord, NE.

 \overline{A} , **, ***: Significant at $P < 0.05$, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively.

tOrthogonal treatment comparisons.

#NP and NPNN indicate treatments with no added P and neither P nor N applied, respectively, CRP indicates P applied at crop removal, and B15, B30, B45 indicate treatments to maintain STP levels at 15, 30, and 45 ppm (Bray-1P equivalents), respectively.

 δ Indicates weighted standard error (SE) for all variables.

Economic Analysis of Fertilization Strategies

The economic analysis of P fertilization strategies revealed that the highest yield increase and net income were observed with the B45 treatment, though not significantly different from crop removal-based P application (CRP). The B45 and CRP treatments generated additional revenue of \$14 and \$24 per acre, respectively, over the B15 treatment. However, B45 incurred the highest annual P costs at \$18 per acre, while CRP costs were similar to B15 and lower than B30 and B45. Despite higher marginal income, the B45 and B30 treatments had the lowest return on investment (ROI) at 3.0, whereas CRP yielded the highest ROI at 4.9, making it the most economical approach for maximizing net profit. Under dry conditions, applying P should be minimized when soil test P is near or above the critical level (CL), as higher application rates increase

financial risk without yield benefits. In contrast, normal growing conditions supported B45's profitability due to yield gains, emphasizing that high STP levels could be beneficial if soil P loss risk and budget constraints are manageable.

Table 5. Annual net profit and return on investment (ROI) of P fertilization strategies during dry (SPEI > -1.13 < -2.17), normal (SPEI $>$ -0.69 < 0.51), wet (SPEI > 1.16 < 1.59), and across all study years (2000-2015) at Concord, NE.

 Δ Yield ^a = Increase in yield over average no P (NP) yield across all years (112 bu ac⁻¹).

 b Net income = Income calculated from increase in yield (Δ Yield) and corn price.

Cost = Cost of P application calculated using price of P fertilizer and average P applied each year.

^eROI = Return on investment from P application calculated as ratio of income and cost.

+Treatments are P applied at crop removal (CRP), and B15, B30, B45 are treatments to maintain STP levels at 15, 30, and 45 ppm (Bray-1P equivalents), respectively.

 SE^{\ddagger} = Indicates weighted standard error.

SUMMARY

This study compared crop removal (CRP) and critical level (CL) approaches to phosphorus (P) fertilization, investigating whether raising the CL from 15 ppm Bray-1 P would improve yield and economic returns. Results showed that maintaining soil test P (STP) above the CL, especially at very high levels (B45), tended to enhance yield by 5%, particularly in normal and wet years, though benefits were less evident in dry conditions due to moisture constraints. While B45 generated additional revenue, it required twice the P application of CRP, leading to lower return on investment (ROI). CRP emerged as the most cost-effective strategy, achieving the highest ROI (4.9) by increasing yield moderately (1–8%) without the high costs associated with maintaining elevated STP levels. This approach also reduces soil testing frequency, supporting sustained productivity without excessive P inputs. These findings highlight the importance of adjusting P management based on weather and crop demands and suggest a potential need to revisit Nebraska's P recommendations for dryland corn. Further regional research is recommended to validate these outcomes for broader applicability.

REFERENCES

- Baker, D. B., Richards, R. P., & Kramer, J. W. (2002). Environmental effects of P management. Journal of Environmental Quality, 31, 315–323.
- Beegle, D. B. (2005). Concepts of soil test interpretation and fertilization practices. Soil Fertility and Fertilizers, 6, 3–12.
- Cadot, H., et al. (2018). The effect of phosphorus on corn production under varying environmental conditions. Agronomy Journal, 110, 320–328.
- Fernández, F. G., & Hoeft, R. G. (2009). Managing phosphorus for corn yield optimization. Agronomy Journal, 101, 735–742.
- Fixen, P. E., & Grove, J. H. (1990). Testing soil phosphorus for predicting corn response to fertilizer. Journal of Production Agriculture, 3(1), 64–70.
- Fulford, A. M., & Culman, S. W. (2018). Crop removal-based phosphorus management. Agronomy Journal, 110(4), 1222–1230.
- Iqbal, J., et al. (2019). Long-term soil fertility trials for corn under varying phosphorus levels. Field Crops Research, 232, 50–61.
- Kaiser, D. E., et al. (2016). Evaluating phosphorus strategies for optimal corn productivity. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 39(12), 1896–1911.
- Mallarino, A. P., & Sawyer, J. E. (2017). Managing phosphorus for yield and environmental stewardship. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 81(1), 65– 78.
- McCallister, D. L., et al. (1987). Phosphorus dynamics in corn fields under high input systems. Agronomy Journal, 79, 957–963.
- Nyborg, M., et al. (1999). Higher phosphorus application strategies in corn production. Canadian Journal of Soil Science, 79(4), 605–611.
- Olson, R. A., Frank, K. D., & Ferguson, R. B. (1987). Fertilizer P recommendations. Agronomy Monographs, 12, 185–208.
- Penn, C. J., Bryant, R. B., & Kleinman, P. J. (2023). Phosphorus efficiency and management in corn. Field Crops Research, 292, 108725.
- Randall, G. W., et al. (1997). Phosphorus availability and crop utilization in continuous corn systems. Journal of Environmental Quality, 26(3), 658–666.
- Rehm, G., & Schmitt, M. (1993). Soil phosphorus tests and crop yield. University of Minnesota Extension, FO-3770.
- Richards, J. E., et al. (1995). Soil phosphorus stratification and corn productivity. Canadian Journal of Soil Science, 75(2), 225–233.
- Sawyer, J. E., et al. (2003). Soil phosphorus management for sustainable corn yields. Soil Fertility Handbook, 14, 203–210.
- Shekhar, A., & Shapiro, C. A. (2019). What do meteorological indices tell us about a long-term tillage study? Soil Tillage and Research, 193, 161–170.
- Sims, J. T., et al. (2023). Phosphorus management and soil testing for improved corn yields. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 87(5), 1075–1089.
- Wortmann, C. S., Dobermann, A., & Ferguson, R. B. (2009). Soil test phosphorus and its implications for fertilizer recommendations. Agronomy Journal, 101, 530–537.