Compaction - K Fertility Interactions in Corn Production<sup>1</sup>

R.P. Wolkowski, L. G. Bundy, and B. Lowery<sup>2</sup>

Soil compaction is recognized as a significant factor affecting yield in crop production today. The pressure to produce crops profitably has often led growers to farm greater acreages, with larger equipment under soil conditions which favor compaction. Effects of compaction are not limited to the surface layers of a soil but often may be apparent throughout much of the root zone.

With the interest in soil compaction and its effects on crop growth, a research project was initiated to accomplish the following objectives.

1. To determine the method and rate of application of K fertilizer needed to maximize corn field on compacted soils.

To evaluate the effects of compaction and K fertilizer application on plant growth and K uptake.

### Materials and Methods

Field plots were established in 1986 near Oshkosh, Wis. on a Kewaunee silty clay loam soil. Initial soil tests at the site were: pH, 7.1; organic matter content, 37 t/a; and P and K levels of 12 and 200 lb/a, respectively. A split-split-plot design with four replications was used.

Three main plot compaction treatments were established in April, 1985 on fall plowed, spring disked ground using vehicles with axle loads of <5 (none), 9 (IH 5288 2-WD tractor), or 19 t (IH 8 row combine). The 9 and 19 t plots were tracked with a single pass perpendicular to the direction the corn was to be planted. Tracks were made to slightly overlap each other such that the entire plot area was compacted. Compaction treatments were imposed in both 1985 and 1986 at soil moisture levels near field capacity. Following compaction, all plots were field cultivated to prepare the seedbed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Presented at the Seventeenth North Central Extension Industry Soil Fertility Workshop, October 28-29, 1987.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Research Program Manager, Assistant Professor, and Associate Professor, Dept. of Soil Science, UW-Madison, Madison, WI 53706. Research support provided by the Wisconsin Fertilizer Research Council, the Potash Corporation of Saskatchewan, and the Potash Phosphate Institute is gratefully acknowledged.

Sub-plot corrective applications of K were made in April, 1985 to establish soil test K levels of 200, 250, and 500 lb/a. Available soil K levels average 204, 262, and 469 lb/a K, respectively, when measured in August, 1985. The sub-sub-plot placement treatemnt consisted of none or 45 lb/a  $K_2O$  applied with the planter in a 2 x 2 placement. Phosphorus fertilizer was applied to adjust soil test P to 60 lb/a. All plots received 225 lb/a N preplant incorporated.

Corn was planted in the first week of May using 'Pioneer Brand 3906' and was thinned to a final population of 27,000 plants/a. Biweekly plant samples from selected treatments were analyzed for dry matter accumulation and nutrient concentration. Grain yields are reported at 15.5% moisture.

#### Results and Discussion

Relative differences in resistance to penetration as measured by a constant rate penetrometer were consistent with the levels of compaction used (Figure 1). In the 15 to 20 cm depth, nearly a two-fold difference in penetration resistance was observed between the non-compacted and 19 t treatment. Soil moisture levels ranged from 25 to 30% by weight throughout the depth measure by the penetrometer.

For the purpose of this paper, three treatments were selected to compare crop response to K fertilization at various compaction levels. Specifically, these were the control (no K added), the initial soil test K level plus 45 lb/a  $K_2O$  in the row, and the 500 soil test K without row applied  $K_2O$ . Analysis of variance was done using compaction as the main plot and K treatment as the sub-plot.

Tables 1 and 2 show the effect of the K fertilization treatment over three compaction levels on the dry matter accumulation, K ooncentration, and K content for 1985 and 1986. In general, the main effect of increasing compaction level was to reduce corn dry matter accumulation, especially in the 19 t treatment. Very little effect of compaction on K concentration was seen in either year, although at several sampling dates a significant interaction was observed between compaction and K treatments. The K content, which is the product of the previous two parameters with a conversion factor, basically reflected the effects on dry matter accumulation. Potassium treatment had a consistently significant effect on each parameter. In 1985 the high soil test level depressed early growth somewhat as a result of high salt concentration from the 1360 lb/a K<sub>2</sub>O applied seven weeks progressed. This treatment consistently increased Plant K concentration throughout each season. The row treatment increased the K concentration over the control until approximately 75 days after which little increase was observed.

Several interactions between compaction and K treatment were significant (Tables 1 and 2). With increasing levels of soil compaction, plant K content decreased in the high soil test level, but usually remained constant or increased slightly in the control and row treatments. Presumably the increase in compaction restricted root growth sufficiently to diminish the response to high K in the soil.



Figure 1. Average penetrometer resistance in three compaction levels measured 29 Aug. 1985, Oshkosh, Wis.

							1	i		:			
Compac-	H K		í			;		•			:		
tion	Treat-		Dry	Matter		X	Concen	tratio	u u	1	3 4	ntent	
Level	ment	<del>1</del> 8	75	97	132	18	22	97	132	8	75	97	132
t			р Б Г Г	lant-1-						1 1 1 1	g pl	ant-1-	
<5	0	4.0	48.9	75.2	212.8	1.11	1.16	0.84	0.68	0.05	0.55	0.64	1.45
	45 Row	5.6	69.2	100.2	201.0	1.58	1.38	0.88	0.72	0.09	0.95	0.88	1.45
	500 Soil	4.8	55.6	116.8	251.5	3.15	3.43	1.45	1.09	0.14	1.91	1.68	2.77
6	0	3.8	48.4	101.8	206.3	1.01	1.21	0.74	0.64	0.04	0.58	0.75	1.33
	45 Row	5.0	70.4	109.0	195.9	1.46	1.19	0.86	0.69	0.07	0.84	0.94	1.34
	500 Soil	2.7	47.7	88.2	211.8	2.42	3.08	1.34	1.18	0.07	1.46	1.17	2.52
19	0	4.2	49.2	77.4	206.2	1.00	1.18	0.84	0.74	0.04	0.58	0.65	1.31
	45 Row	4.2	43.9	116.1	205.3	1.67	1.39	0.89	1.08	0.07	0.60	1.04	1.53
	500 Soil	2.3	46.2	115.9	215.8	2.79	2.79	1.30	1.45	0.06	1.28	1.50	2.31
Significa	ance (Pr>F)**								÷				
Com	maction (c)	0.42	0.01	0.74	0.50	0.46	0.48	0.35	0.93	0.13	0.06	0.47	0.52
K T	reatment (T)	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
С×	Т	0.15	0.14	0.08	0.60	0.86	0.01	0.01	0.28	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.86
* K Tre fert:	eatment = ini ilized with a	tial s goal	oil te of 500	st K of lb/a s	200 lb, oil test	a, the	same	t suld	5 lb/a	kz Ú in	start	er, an	- - -

Values indicate days after planting. +

\*\* Probability that tabular F ratio exceeds calculated F ratio by analysis of variance.

Table 2.	Effect of s concentrati	soil cc ion, an	id K col	on and ntent a	K fertil t severa	lizatio	n on c s foll	orn dr owing	y matte plantin	rr accu g, Osh	mulati kosh,	on, K Wis.,	1986.
Compac- tion	K Treat-		Dry	Matter		K	Concen	tratio			K Co	ntent	
Level	ment*	38•	71	86	142	38	11	86	142	38	71	86	142
÷				lant-1-							g pl	ant-1-	
<5	0	1.3	46.9	183.5	284.2	1.67	1.71	0.88	0.72	0.02	0.80	1.62	2.06
	45 Row	1.5	44.3	187.9	308.2	3.20	1.92	1.13	0.69	0.05	0.84	2.10	2.17
	500 Soil	2.2	57.5	179.1	291.3	5.40	2.81	1.75	1.01	0.12	1.61	3.14	2.93
6	0	1.7	45.4	197.6	276.5	1.88	1.93	1.07	0.74	0.03	0.83	2.11	2.08
	45 Row	1.9	51.6	190.8	288.0	3.32	1.78	1.01	0.71	0.06	0.92	1.93	2.04
	500 Soil	2.3	53.2	195.7	255.6	5.44	2.75	1.57	0.97	0.13	1.47	3.07	2.48
19	0	1.4	37.5	158.1	245.0	2.51	1.89	1.08	0.84	0.04	0.71	1.70	2.11
	45 Row	1.7	52.2	167.8	266.2	3.17	2.07	1.11	0.67	0.05	1.07	1.86	1.79
	500 Soil	1.7	49.7	188.4	256.0	4.36	2.87	1.53	1.03	0.07	1.42	2.89	2.64
Signific	ance (Pr>F)**												
Com	paction (c)	0.01	0.60	0.05	0.02	<i>0.77</i>	0.80	0.78	0.22	0.05	0.83	0.60	0.02
K T	reatment (T)	0.01	0.01	0.60	0.23	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
C X	Т	0.10	0.06	0.40	0.91	0.03	0.71	0.10	0.22	0.01	0.10	0.64	0.88
• K Tr fert	eatment = ini ilized with a	tial s goal	oil te of 500	st K of lb/a s	200 lb/ oil test	a, the K.	same	t suld	5 lb/a	kaU in	start	er, and	

Probability that tabular F ratio exceeds calculated F ratio by analysis of variance. Values indicate days after planting. \*

+

Regardless, the K content was higher within all treatments when compared to the control and row treatment. When grain yield was evaluated for the same three treatments over all compaction levels the row treatment produced as well as the high soil test treatment (Table 3).

The importance of K fertility for maximizing grain yield on compacted soils in this study is shown in Table 3. This table gives results for the entire study analyzed across the levels of compaction, soil test K, and placement. As expected, the response to row K decreased as the soil test K level was increased. In the compacted treatments the yield response to row applied K was considerably larger than in the non-compacted treatments. Additionally, the response to row applied K was more consistently observed at higher soil test K levels in the compacted treatments. Thus, to maximize yield in the compacted situation, row applied K was essential.

#### Summary

This experiment evaluated the effects of soil compaction on a responsive soil under worst case conditions. Factors such as soil texture, organic matter content, and traffic management would have a significant impact on the detrimental effects of compaction in production situations. Regardless, the importance of overlooked. Increasing soil test K helped compensate for some of the effects of compaction; however, the best yields were obtained when K was row applied. It should be recognized that K fertilization did not totally offset the negative effects of compaction.

				Compaction	Level (T	)	
	Row	<	5		9	i	9
Soil K	K2 O	1985	1986	1985	1986	1985	1986
lb/a	lb/a			bu	/a		
204	0 45	132 162	169 175	114 152	168 176	111 159	147 169
262	0 45	175 171	179 174	137 168	169 167	149 157	165 168
469	0 45	165 161	172 176	160 160	163 173	$\begin{array}{c} 146 \\ 143 \end{array}$	151 159
PR>F		1985	<u>1986</u>				
Compaction Soil K (S) C x S Row K (R) C x R S x R C x S x R	n (C)	0.23 0.01 0.75 0.01 0.51 0.01 0.13	0.08 0.15 0.92 0.09 0.40 0.02 0.38				

Table 3.	Effect of compaction, soil K, and row K2O on corn grain yield,
	Oshkosh, Wis., 1985-1986.

# PROCEEDINGS

## Of the Seventeenth North Central Extension-Industry Soil Fertility Workshop





Published for The North Central Extension-Industry Soil Fertility Workshop by Potash & Phosphate Institute 1220 Potter Drive, Suite 108B W. Lafayette, Indiana 47906-1334