WATER QUALITY ISSUES AND ACTIVITIES IN MINNESOTA¹

Gyles W. Randall²

Within the last few years there has been considerable public concern over the occunrence of nitrates (NO;) in bath **ground** and surface waters. ?his is especially significant since 50% of **drinking** water for the U.S. comes from groundwater supplies and this increases to 85% in the rural areas (CAST, 1985). The issue has at times become emotional and fingers have been pointed regarding the cause of **nitrates** in our water supply. Consequently, steps are being taken to establish the relationship between N management (fertilizer and manure), fate of N in the soil, and the occurrence of nitrates in groundwater at a number of locations in the U.S.

Factors Affecting NO₃ Leaching and Groundwater Quality

The amount of NO_3^- that leaches from a soil depends on the amount of water that moves through the soil and the amount of NO_3^- in the soil when the water drains through and out of the soil profile (Pratt, 1984). Other factors such as the soil, climate, irrigation, crop grown, and N management either directly or indirectly affect NO_2^- leaching from the rooting zone. Additionally, the soil landscape and geology of the area affect the degree of NO_3^- occurrence in the groundwater.

Drainage water that leaves the upper root zone percolates through an unsaturated zone to a saturated zone at some depth below. In some **cases,** tile lines are installed to transport the excess water from the perched water table of a soil profile. This tile transports mobile nutrients, i.e. NO_3^- , from the soil profile to surface water. The rate of percolation can vary tremendously from high values in areas of high drainage volumes and *sardy* soil materials to law values in areas of law drainage volumes and clayey soil materials. Consequently, the travel time for NO; to reach an aquifer (water bearing **rock** formation) depends not only on the depth of the aquifer but also on the properties of the soil.

Site Specific Nature of Contamination

From the previous section it is quite obvious that the effects of agriculture on groundwater are very site specific. Consequently, those conducting research, its interpretation, and the extension of agricultural chemical management practices **need** to **keep this in mird.** It is imperative that individuals involved in general public education and regulatory activities be cognizant of site specificity mther than generalizing across a State or the Nation.

 $^{\rm 1}$ Presented at the 17th North Central Extension Industry Soil Fertility Workshop, October 28-29, 1987.

 2 Soil Scientist and Professor, Southern Experiment Station, University of M.innesota, Waseca, **MN** 56093

In Minnesota, agriculturally sensitive areas to groundwater contamination have been identified. The areas receiving priority attention are primarily (1) the **marse-ta&umd,** irrigated soils and (2) the mediumtextured, shal low, loess soils located over fractured limestone (Karst) **and sandstone** in southeastern Minnesota. Intensive 1 ivestock production with subsequent manure availability is also a characteristic of this latter area. Even though the majority of Minnesota's crop production and fertilizer **usage** *oaurs* on the f **her**textured, glacial till soils, **these** areas are presently considered less sensitive due to slow percolation rates, greater denitrification potential, **and greater** depth to the gmurdwater.

Past Research Activitv

Coarse-Textured Soils

Numnas **studies** have been conducted on **sardy** soils in Minnesota. **One** of them, using tagged N (15N) was conducted to determine if proper N rates and split applications would reduce NO_3^- loss below the rooting zone and decrease the potential for NO₃ movement to the aquifer (Gerwing et al., 1979). They spring-applied two rates of N as either single or split applications to a Sverdrup sandy loam soil planted to corn. This soil is urderlain by **mixed** layers of **sand** and gravel dm to the aquifer at 15 feet. Rainfall and irrigation totaled 31.5 inches during the growing season. Their results indicated substantially higher $NO₂-N$ concentrations in the soil solution at 5' and 8' **depths** with the single application (Table 1). In addition the percent of N derived from the labeled 15-N fertilizer was less with the split applications. **By** early September, NO₃-N concentrations in the aquifer (15' deep) were increased by 7 and 10 mg/L with the 160 and 240 lb N/A rates, respectively, when added as single applications. Split applications had minimal effect on the aquifer. No accumulation of $NO₃-N$ was detectable in soil samples taken to a depth of 10 feet after harvest. In summary, this study indicated that substantial quantities of N from fertilizers can be leached as NO3 from the root zone of sandy soils into the subsoil or aquifer during one growing season. Split applications of N and/or the use of nitrification inhibitors are definitely best management practices (BMP's) for these irrigated, sandy soils.

N applied at 160 lb N/A as **urea.**

<u>Medium-Textured Soils</u>

Studies were conducted to evaluate the effect of N rate and time of application on the fate of N and corn production on a loess soil (Mount Carroll silt loam) in southeastern Minnesota (Jokela, 1985). A summary of **his** results indicated:

- 1) irx=reasing N **rate** to 130 **lb** N/A was associated with **higher** yields and also **higher** residual NO: left in the soil profile at the end of the season
- 2) yields and fertilizer N uptake were not affected by time of application (Preplant vs sidedress at **the** 8-leaf stage)
- 3) greater amounts of residual NO_3^- were found in the soil profile after the growing season with sldedress application compared to preplant application.

Jokela's studies also showed sizable losses of NO_3-N from the 0-5' profile after the growing season (Table 2). Approximately 65% of the NQ_3-N in the profile in early November was not found in early May of the foIlawing spring and **suggests** that it may have **leached** belm 5) **between** the growing seasons. Rainfall during April was above normal in both
years.

N	1982	1983		1984	$Two-Yr$
rate	F	S	т	S	Avg.Change
1b/A			1b $NO_{\mathcal{R}}-N/A$		97
0	150	60	126	35	64
67	221	89	152	37	68
134	225	77	178	57	67

Table **2.** Nitrate-N in 0-5' profile in Goodhue Co. as influenced by time of sampling.

?he relationship **between** time of N application **and** the distribution of NO₃-N in the soil profile is shown in Figure 1. Substantially more NO_{3} -N remained in the fall in the 0-1' profile with the 8-leaf application campared to N application at planting. **By** the follawing spring mch of this had shifted to greater than 3' deep.

Based on these results residual NO₃-N remaining in the fall is highly susceptible to leaching from the rooting profile. In addition, any fall-applied fertilizer N which nitrifies to $N0₃$ by early May could also be lost. These conditions suggest spring application of N to be more efficient and environmentally sound than fall application in southeastern Minnesota. In addition, N rates may need to be reduced slightly when sidedress applied to limit residual NO $_{\rm 3}^{-}$ in the soil profile at the end of the *grmiq* season.

Fig. 1. Effect of N rate and time of application on the NO₃-N in the soil profile in 1982-83.

Fine Textured Soils

A number of **studies** have **been** conducted on the fine-textwed, glacial till soils of southern Minnesota to relate N management practices to NO₃ movement **in** the soil, into the tile water, and uptake into the plant. *'Ihese* practices have included rate of application, time of application, crop sequene, and tillage **system.**

Rate of application

Using the proper application rate of N can have a greater effect on crop yield, N efficiency, economical return, and the environment than any other management tool. Application rates that are either too high or too low result in less profit to the farmer. To arrive at the optimum rate of N application the grcwer mst consider the crq **being** fertilized and the productive capacity of the soil when setting a realistic yield goal. In addition, **credits** for N, **which** may be present **due** to previaus legume crop, manure, residual NO₂ carried over from past fertilization, or N in the irrigation water, must be considered.

Tile drainage plots were established in 1974 on a Webster clay **loam** at **Waseca. Nitrogen** was applied annually at rates of 0, 100 and 200 lb N/A from 1975 through 1979 to continuous corn. This site has an annual precipitation of 30". The first two years were extremely dry, however, and yields did not exceed 75 bu/A. Consequently, residual NO₃-N remaining in the 0-3' profile at the end of the second year (1976)

ranged from 43 lb/A with no N applied to 241 lb/A with the 200-lb rate (Table 3). Above normal rainfall in the third year (1977) resulted in tile line drainage that averaged 58 mg NO₃-N/L with the 200-lb rate with no increase in corn yield over the 100-1b rate. It should be emphasized that NO₃-N concentrations averaged greater than 10 mg/L in the tile trainage water from these high organic matter soils (5 to 6%) even when drainage water from these high organic matter soils (5 to 6%) even when
no N was applied. These results indicate that substantial amounts of NO; can accumulate in these soils under **dry** conditions and then are highly susceptible to leaching.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ October, 1976

Flow-weighted average during 1977

Time of Application and Crop Sequence

Time of N application is important in increasing N efficiency and reducing potential N loss because a shorter time interval between fertilizer application and maximum crop uptake reduces the probability of loss due to either leaching or denitrification For this reason **and** others, many corn growers throughout the Corn Belt are returning to spring and early summer applications.

Studies conducted at the Southern Experiment Station, Waseca using **ammonium** sulfate clearly show **both** a yield and environmental advantage for the spring preplant application compared to the late-fall, plowed **down** application (Table 4). Corn yields over the five-year period were increased by 15 and 5% with spring application at the 120-1b and 180-1b rates, respectively. Spring application of N also reduced NO; losses to the tile 1 **ines** by **about** 30%. The 180-lb N **rate** maximized corn yield but also resulted in higher **NO:** losses thru the tile lines. Continuous soybeans lost as much NO_3-N in the tile water as the average of the two 180-lb N treatmx~ts applled to **corn. These** data clearly indicate (1) an advantage for spring application of N and (2) substantial N **can** be lczst thru the tile lines when saybeans are grown continuously.

Comervation tillaae

Conservation tillage practices **are beccaning** mnplace for a rnrmber of **-ns,** one of which is to reduce water runoff **and** subsequent erosion. It then follows that a greater proportion of the precipitation will infiltrate into the soil **and perhaps** percolate thru the soil. It seems reasonable that as the volume of percolating water increases, the likihood of NO_3^- leaching increases. For this reason some speculate that conservation tillage may in fact increase NO_3^- losses to the groundwater. Data to address this hypothesis are limited.

Tile drainage plots at the Southern Experiment Station have been monitored to determine the effect of primary tillage on the $NO₃ - N$ concentrations and losses in the tile water, $NO₃$ accumulation :n the concentrations and losses in the tile water, NO₃ accumulation :n the soil profile, and crop yield. Nitrogen applied at 180 lb N/A as ammiurn nitrate was spring-applied annually to continuous **corn** on this clay loam site. Ihta from the 5-year period (1982-86) indicate little difference between the moldboard plow and no tillage systems for either total tile drainage or $NO₃ - N$ losses (Table 5). Additional years will be needed to determine if thrs effect changes over time. Moreover, numerous studies will need to be conducted over a wide range of soil permeability levels with various ti1 lage *system* before this question is answerd satisfactorily.

	Tillage		
Parameter	Moldboard Plow No Tillage		
Avg. grain yield (bu/A)	134	127	
Total tile discharge (acre inches) Total NO_2-N lost in tile (1b/A)	57 137	61 149	
N lost via tile lines as a percent of applied N $(%)$	15	17	
Randall & Kelly, 1987			

Table 5. Effect of primary tillage on corn yield, tile line drainage and NO₂-N lost through the tiles from 1982-1986.

These studies on fine-textured soils indicate that NO_3^- can move out of the plant root **system** by leaching belaw the **maxinnrm** rooting depth and/or thmqh tile water discharge. In addition, significant losses of N **can** occur via denitrification on these soils. The proprtion of **NO;** 1st by leaching to that lost by denitrification will depend on water flow characteristics of the soil, soil organic matter level, depth of NO_2^- in the soil profile, and soil tenperature.

Future Research Activitv

Soil fertility research in the next 10 years must address the environmental aspects of N management as well as economic issues. This research will need to **examine** more closely the effects of N rate, time of N application, nitrification inhibitors, animal manure, crop rotations, and legume-fixed N. It is important that information on soil water. N accumulation/movement through the profile, total plant uptake of N, other soil physical parameters, and weather be gathered in addition to crop yields. Only through this detailed **data base** will we be able to assess **both** the economical environmental effects of agricultural practices at the experimental sites. These **data** will allw the development of models to predict the effects over a wider range of soils and growing conditions.

Educational Opportunities

The current public concern **and** confusion over agricultural impacts on groundwater quality will demand strong, broad-based educational programs. These programs must be delivered by both extension **and** industry. Those involved in these educational endeavors will need: a clear and objective understanding of the situation, b) patience because of the wide **backgmurd** of the audience, and c) an **understanding** of the ethical considerations raised by those with preformed attitudes.

lhis educational challenge will need to cover a much broader range than the customary farmer and dealer. We will need to direct more attention to the consultants, leaders, and urban public including the clergy and teachers.

Regulations on the usage of N fertilizers **are** not just idle talk but are a reality. We will need to develop precise research projects, with clear interpretations of data coupled with effective educational programs to aid in regulation development. That will be the challenge of the next decade.

References

- Council for Agricultural Science and Technology. 1985. Agriculture and groundwater quality. CAST Report No. 103. May, 1985. 62 pp.
- Gerwing, J. R., A. C. Caldwell, and L. L. Goodroad. 1979. Fertilizer nitrogen distribution under irrigation between soil, plant, and aquifer. J. Environ. Qual. 8:281-284.
- Jokela, W. E. 1985. Corn production and fate of fertilizer N as affected by time of application. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Minnesota, **St.** Paul.
- Pratt, P. F. 1994. Nitrogen use and nitrate leaching in irrigated agriculture. p. 3 19-333. In R. **D.** Hauck (ed.) Nitrogen in crop production. American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, and Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI.
- Randall, G. W., and P. L. Kel ly. 1987. Nitrogen loss to tile lines as affected by tillage. p. 138-140. In A report on field research in soils. University of Minnesota Agricultural Experiment Station Miscellaneous Publication 2 (revised) - 1987.

PROCEEDINGS

Of the Seventeenth **North Central Extension-Industry** Soil Fertility Workshop

Published for The North Central Extension-Industry Soil Fertility Workshop by Potash & Phosphate Institute 1220 Potter Drive, Suite 108B W. Lafayette, Indiana 47906-1334